

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/037,945	03/10/1998	PIERRE C. FAZAN	MICRON.003C1	7714
7	590 10/24/2002			
KNOBBE MA	NOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR EXAMINER EXTERNTH FLOOR			
SIXTEENTH FLOOR 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE			FOURSON III, GEORGE R	
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 926608016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002	25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		2				
_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/037,945	FAZAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George Fourson	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS . cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27.</u>	<u>June 2002</u> .					
,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,8,9,11,12,14,16 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will Holli oonsideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,9,11,12,14,16 and 17</u> is/are reje	ected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						



Application/Control Number: 09/037,945

Art Unit: 2823

The rejection of claims 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Marshall et al in view of Sze is withdrawn to reduce issues for appeal. The claims are now seen to be sufficiently similar to other pending claims to justify more uniform treatment of the claims. Any inconvenience is regretted. A new grounds of rejection is also required because claims 16 and 17 depend on cancelled claim 15.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 16 and 17 depend on claim 15 which has been cancelled. The claims have been treated as if depending on claim 14 for the purposes of this office action.

Claims 1,2,4,14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent 266885 (Germany '885).

Germany '885 discloses formation of a field oxide by oxidizing a silicon substrate in a first stage comprising water vapor at 1000°C and a second stage comprising oxygen at atmospheric pressure. The reference discloses that the inclusion of HCl and/or chlorocarbon gas is optional in the second stage. The reference discloses that the process is useful in MOS transistor production which by definition includes formation of a gate oxide. The reference discloses that the first stage of the oxidation enables the process to be performed in reduced time. The abstract contains a typographical error in the last sentence of the

Application/Control Number: 09/037,945

Art Unit: 2823

"Use/Advantage" in that "less thick" should be --less time-- in view of the disclosure of the thickness previously in the sentence. It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to omit the first stage oxidation with the expectation that the concomitant disclosed advantages of such a step would not be obtained because, in view of the above pointed to disclosure, the process could be performed without the first stage oxidation, although taking longer. See MPEP 2144.04, II, A. The recitation of performing the process without forming nitride occlusions would be obtained by the process discussed above because the same materials would be treated in the same manner as in the instant invention. Note also that the reference indicates that Kooi ribbon, or nitride formed during the first stage when employed, is eliminated in the second stage.

Claims 3,8,9,11,12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Germany '885 as applied to claims 1,2,4,14 and 17 above, and further in view of Marshall et al.

Germany '885 does not disclose oxidation at pressures greater than 5 atm or the temperature of performing the second stage. Marshall et al discloses the suitability of oxidation of silicon in oxygen at pressures of 140-500 atm and temperatures up to 880°C using a silicon nitride oxidation mask to produce field oxide regions. It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Germany '885 and Marshall et al to enable the oxidation step of Germany '885 to be performed at a lower temperature (Marshall, page 1411). The choice of the particular recited temperature for each step would have been a matter of routine optimization within the teachings of the references (see Marshall et al, page 2411, the paragraph bridging col.1 and col.2). Marshall et al compares the disclosed process to one using 1atm at 1200°C (col.1). In view of this disclosure and the statement at col.2 that a "more optimum set of conditions can obviously be chosen from available data", the choice of particular

Application/Control Number: 09/037,945

Art Unit: 2823

pressure would have been a matter of routine optimization within the teachings of the reference. Claims 8-9 are drawn to a field oxide which would not be distinguished from the field oxide produced by such a process.

Applicant argues that the reference must disclose elimination of the first oxidation step. However, this is not necessary. As discussed above, the reference suggests elimination of the step in disclosing the function of the step in the event that the disclosed function is not desired to be obtained. It is clear from the teachings of the reference that a field oxide could be produced by the second step alone although the process would be longer in duration. MPEP 2144.04(II)(A).

Applicant argues that there is no suggestion or motivation to omit the wet oxidation step of Germany '885. However, in view of the disclosed purpose of such a step, namely shortening the time required to form a desired thickness of field oxide It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to omit the step with the expectation that the disclosed concomitant advantages would not be obtained. See MPEP 2144.04, II, A.

Applicant argues that the references are improperly combined. However, all references relied on are directed to formation of field oxide by local oxidation of Silicon and thus are seen to be analogous.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner George Fourson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2544. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/037,945

Art Unit: 2823

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax number for this group is (703)308-7722 (or extensions 7724, 3431 or 3432) for regular communications and (703)308-7282 for after final communications.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2823

GFourson October 20, 2002